



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
PO. Box 1450
Alexandra, Viginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/990,777 | 11/21/2001 | M. Kevin Sorrels | 5588-00101 | 1822 |
| 7: | 590 06/20/2003 | | | |
| ERIC B. MEYERTONS | | | EXAMINER | |
| CONLEY, ROSE & TAYON, P.C. P.O.BOX 398 AUSTIN, TX 78767-0398 | | PATEL, TAJASH D | | |
| AUSTIN, TA | 76707-0396 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 3765 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 06/20/2003 | X |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(a) | | | | |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| . | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | 09/990,777 | SORRELS, M. KEVIN | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication ap | Tejash D Patel | 3765 | | | | |
| Period for Reply | pears on the cover sheet with the | correspondence address | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.7 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replevent of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute. - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status | 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDON | mely filed ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 3/2 | <u>1/03 (Amdt A)</u> . | | | | | |
| 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Th | nis action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | Ex parte Quayle, 1933 C.D. 11, | 400 0.0. 210. | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-35</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9,12-24,27-30 and 32-35</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) 10,11,25,26 and 31 is/are objected to |). | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o | or election requirement. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | - | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on | | | | | | |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. | | | | | | |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | | |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | | |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prion application from the International But See the attached detailed Office action for a list | ıreau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest | ic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | (e) (to a provisional application). | | | | |
| a) The translation of the foreign language pro 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest | • • | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | _ | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | 5) Notice of Informal | ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | |
| S. Patent and Trademark Office | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/990,777 Page 2

Art Unit: 3765

٤.

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-9, 12-24, 27-30, and 32-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sorrels (US 5,450,626) in view of Cutshall (US 5,231,700). Sorrels discloses a guard (10) including a distal portion having a protective portion (40,42) of a body being penetration resistant, col. 2, lines 41-48, with a less protective portion (44), col. 3, lines 18-22 and as shown in figure 3. Further, an end of the body is uncovered so that a pad of the digit extends therethrough without covering a medial joint thereof as shown in figures 1 and 2. Additionally, the body covers a substantial portion of a medial joint that is configured to contact a distal portion of the digit as shown in figure 5, 6, and 8.

A bead of material is formed between the protective and less protective portions as shown in figure 3, with the protective portion having a longer length than the less protective portion as shown in figures 2 and 4. However, Sorrels does not show the protective portion having a different color than the less protective portion.

Application/Control Number: 09/990,777

Art Unit: 3765

Page 3

Cutshall discloses a cut and puncture resistant guard for a digit (30-34) of the hand including a protective, penetration resistant portion (40) which is colored differently from the less protective portion (21), col. 3, lines 60-61 and as shown in figure 3, so that the guard is properly worn about the hand.

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art the time the invention was made to form the protective porion of Sorrels to be colored differently from the less protective portion as taught by Cutsahll. Doing so, the proper sized guard to be worn about the hand while being cut and puncture resistant.

With regard to claims 4-6 & 12-13, it is obvious that the guard can be made of any desired material which were available at the time the device was made.

With regard to claims 19 and 20, col. 4, lines 62-64, of Sorrels discloses that the circumferential extension of the protective portion/dorsal segment can extend a greater of lesser distance thereabout. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to extend the protective portion about the digit as required for a particular application or end use thereof.

With regard to claims 7 and 21-23, col. 2, lines 41-49 of Sorrels states the guard forms a sheath which protects the fingers from intravenous needles. Therefore, it would have been

Application/Control Number: 09/990,777

Art Unit: 3765

obvious to one skilled in the art that the guard can be puncture resistance to needle having various gauges depending on the particular application of the device.

Response to Amendment

3. The argument and amendment filed on 3/21/03 has been considered and duly noted. In view of such the objection to claim 5 has been withdrawn. However, the arguments are moot based upon a newly applied prior art (see rejection above). Therefore, this office action is being made new-non Final.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 10, 11, 25-26, and 31 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

 The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Page 4

Art Unit: 3765

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Tejash Patel at telephone number (703) 306-9184.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner' supervisor, John Calvert, can be reached on (703) 305-1025.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0861.

Patel/tp

June 12, 2003

Tejash Patel

Patent Examiner

AU 3765